Objectives

A unified theory of inequality and economic development:

- Captures the changing role of inequality in the growth process
- Unifies the Classical and the Modern Paradigms
- Provides an intertemporal reconciliation between conflicting viewpoints about the effect of inequality on economic growth
- Generates novel testable predictions that may resolve empirical disputes about the relationship between inequality and growth
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  \[ \implies \text{enhances the development process} \]
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Main Hypothesis

The replacement of physical capital accumulation by human capital accumulation as a prime engine of economic growth has changed the qualitative impact of inequality on the process of development.

Early stages of industrialization: physical capital accumulation is a main engine of growth.

Inequality enhanced development by channeling resources towards individuals whose marginal propensity to save is higher.

Later stages of development: the return to human capital increases due to capital-skill complementarity and human capital became the prime engine of growth.

Inequality, due to credit constraints, is harmful for growth.
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Main Hypothesis

- The replacement of physical capital accumulation by human capital accumulation as a prime engine of economic growth has changed the qualitative impact of inequality on the process of development.

- Early stages of industrialization: physical capital accumulation is a main engine of growth $\implies$
  - Inequality enhanced development by channeling resources towards individuals whose marginal propensity to save is higher.

- Later stages of development: the return to human capital increases due to capital-skill complementarity and human capital became the prime engine of growth $\implies$
  - Inequality, due to credit constraints, is harmful for growth.
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Human Capital vs. Physical Capital Accumulation

Human capital is embodied in humans and is subject to diminishing returns at the individual level. The accumulation of human capital would be larger if it were widely distributed among individuals in society.

Physical capital is not embodied in humans and may benefit from the concentration of wealth among individuals whose marginal propensity to save is larger.
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- Labor (and thus human capital) is abundant and physical capital is scarce.
- The return to physical capital is higher than the return to human capital.
- Physical capital accumulation is the main engine of growth.

\[ \implies \text{Inequality is conducive for growth} \]
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Physical capital accumulation complements human capital. The return to human capital increases sufficiently so as to induce human capital accumulation (Nelson and Phelps (1966), Shultz (1975), Foster and Rosenzweig (1996)).

Investment in human capital is sub-optimal due to CMI. The return to human capital is higher than on physical capital.

Human capital accumulation is the main engine of growth.

Inequality is harmful for growth.
Later Stages of Development

- Physical capital accumulation complements human capital
Later Stages of Development

- Physical capital accumulation complements human capital
- The return to human capital increases sufficiently so as to induce human capital accumulation (Nelson and Phelps (1966), Shultz (1975), Foster and Rosenzweig (1996))
Later Stages of Development

- Physical capital accumulation complements human capital

- The return to human capital increases sufficiently so as to induce human capital accumulation (Nelson and Phelps (1966), Shultz (1975), Foster and Rosenzweig (1996))

- Investment in human capital is sub-optimal due to CMI \( \Rightarrow \) the return to human capital is higher than on physical capital
Later Stages of Development

- Physical capital accumulation complements human capital
- The return to human capital increases sufficiently so as to induce human capital accumulation (Nelson and Phelps (1966), Shultz (1975), Foster and Rosenzweig (1996))
- Investment in human capital is sub-optimal due to CMI \( \Rightarrow \) the return to human capital is higher than on physical capital
- Human capital accumulation is the main engine of growth
Later Stages of Development

- Physical capital accumulation complements human capital

- The return to human capital increases sufficiently so as to induce human capital accumulation (Nelson and Phelps (1966), Shultz (1975), Foster and Rosenzweig (1996))

- Investment in human capital is sub-optimal due to CMI $\Rightarrow$ the return to human capital is higher than on physical capital

- Human capital accumulation is the main engine of growth

$\Rightarrow$ Inequality is harmful for growth
A Unified Theory
Reconciliation between Various Approaches

Reconciliation: The Classical and Modern Approaches

A positive effect of inequality on growth underlined by the Classical Approach reflects early stages of industrialization when physical capital accumulation was the prime engine of growth. A negative effect of inequality on growth underlined by the Modern Approach reflects later stages of development when human capital accumulation becomes a prime engine of growth, and credit constraints are still binding.
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- A negative effect of inequality on growth underlined by the **Modern Approach** reflects later stages of development when human capital accumulation becomes a prime engine of growth, and credit constraints are still binding.
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- Overlapping-Generations economy
- $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$
- One good
- Two factors:
  - Physical capital (PC)
  - Human Capital (HC)
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- Output per-capita grows over time due to the accumulation of factors of production.
- The stock of physical capital: Output produced in the preceding period net of consumption and HC investment.
- The level of HC: Outcome of education decisions, subject to borrowing constraint.
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Production of Final Output

The output produced at time $t$:

$$Y_t = F(K_t, H_t) \equiv H_t f(k_t)$$

$K_t$ - PC

$H_t$ - HC (efficiency units)

$k_t \equiv K_t / H_t$
Factor Prices

Inverse demand for factors of production at time $t$

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_t &= f'(k_t) \equiv r(k_t) \\
    w_t &= f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t \equiv w(k_t)
\end{align*}
\]
The Model

Individuals
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- Continuum of measure 1
- Individuals have 1 parent and 1 child
- Identical in:
  - Preferences
  - Innate abilities
- Differ in:
  - Parental income $\Rightarrow$ Inv’t in HC
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  - Human capital formation

- **Second period of life (Period $t + 1$):**
  - Supply their efficiency units of labor
  - Allocate income & inheritance between:
    - (a) Consumption (b) Transfers to children

- Transfers are allocated to:
  - Finance of offspring's education
  - Saving for offspring’s future wealth
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\[ c_{t+1}^i + b_{t+1}^i \leq l_{t+1}^i \]

- \( c_{t+1}^i \) — consumption
- \( b_{t+1}^i \) — transfers to the offspring
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Individual i of Generation t: Intergenerational Transfers

Transfer to offspring, $b_{t+1}^i$, is allocated between:

- Finance of offspring’s education - $e_{t+1}^i$
- Saving for offspring’s future wealth
  
  $$s_{t+1}^i = b_{t+1}^i - e_{t+1}^i$$

- Inheritance
  
  $$x_{t+1}^i = s_{t}^i R_{t+1} = (b_{t}^i - e_{t}^i) R_{t+1}$$
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Efficiency units of labor in period $t + 1$
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Efficiency units of labor in period $t + 1$

$$h^i_{t+1} = h(e^i_t)$$

$e^i_t$ — expenditure on education
Individual i of Generation t: Human capital formation

\[ h_{t+1} = h(e_t) \]
In the absence of borrowing constraints:

\[ e^i_t = \arg \max \left[ w_{t+1} h(e^i_t) + (b^i_t - e^i_t) R_{t+1} \right] \]
Optimal Inv’t in Education of Member \( i \) of Generation \( t \)

In the absence of borrowing constraints:

\[
e_t^i = \arg \max [w_{t+1} h(e_t^i) + (b_t^i - e_t^i) R_{t+1}]
\]

\( e_t \) is unique and identical across members of generation \( t \)
Optimal Inv’t in Education of Member i of Generation t

\[ e_t > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad w_{t+1} h'(e_t) = R_{t+1} \]
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\[ e_t = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad R_{t+1} > w_{t+1} h'(0) \]
Optimal Inv’t in Education of Member i of Generation t

\[ w(k) \quad \text{with} \quad e_t = 0 \]

\[ R(k) \quad \sim \quad w(k) \quad h(e_t) \]
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$$e_t = e(k_{t+1}) \begin{cases} 
= 0 & \text{if } k_{t+1} \leq \tilde{k} \\
> 0 & \text{if } k_{t+1} > \tilde{k}
\end{cases}$$

where

$$e'(k_{t+1}) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k_{t+1} > \tilde{k}$$
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Individuals cannot borrow to finance the education expenditure of their offspring:

$$e_t^i = \min[e(k_{t+1}), b_t^i]$$
Preferences and Transfers of Member $i$ of Generation $t$

- Preferences:
  
  $$u_t^i = (1 - \beta) \log c_{t+1}^i + \beta \log (\bar{\theta} + b^i_{t+1})$$
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- Preferences:
  \[ u_t^i = (1 - \beta) \log c_{t+1}^i + \beta \log (\theta + b_{t+1}^i) \]

- Optimal transfer to offspring:
  \[ b_{t+1}^i = b(l_{t+1}^i) \equiv \begin{cases} 
\beta (l_{t+1}^i - \theta) & \text{if } l_{t+1}^i \geq \theta \\
0 & \text{if } l_{t+1}^i \leq \theta 
\end{cases} \]

where \( \theta \equiv \bar{\theta} (1 - \beta) / \beta \)
Optimal transfer of a member $i$ of generation $t$
Saving of Member i of Generation t

\[ s_t^i = \begin{cases} 
    b_t^i & \text{if } k_{t+1} \leq \tilde{k} \\
    b_t^i - e_t^i & \text{if } k_{t+1} > \tilde{k}
\end{cases} \]
Saving of Member $i$ of Generation $t$

$$s^i_t = \begin{cases} 
b^i_t & \text{if } k_{t+1} \leq \tilde{k} \\
b^i_t - e^i_t & \text{if } k_{t+1} > \tilde{k} \end{cases}$$

Saving rate $s^i_{t+1} / l^i_{t+1}$ is increasing in $l^i_{t+1}$
Initial Wealth Distribution

The economy consists of two groups in period 0:
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The economy consists of two groups in period 0:

- **Capitalists (R)**
  - Fraction $\lambda$ of all adult individuals
  - Equally own the *initial* capital stock

- **Workers (P)**
  - Fraction $1 - \lambda$ of all adult individuals
  - No ownership over the *initial* capital stock
Factor Accumulation

\[
K_{t+1} = \int_0^1 s_t^i \, di = \lambda (b_t^R - e_t^R) + (1 - \lambda) (b_t^P - e_t^P)
\]

\[
K_{t+1} = K(b_t^R, b_t^P, k_{t+1})
\]

\[
H_{t+1} = \int_0^1 h_{t+1}^i \, di = \lambda h(e_t^R) + (1 - \lambda) h(e_t^P)
\]

\[
H_{t+1} = H(b_t^R, b_t^P, k_{t+1})
\]
The Capital-Labor Ratio

\[ k_{t+1} = \frac{K_{t+1}}{H_{t+1}} = \frac{K(b_t^R, b_t^P, k_{t+1})}{H(b_t^R, b_t^P, k_{t+1})} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \]

\[ k_{t+1} = \kappa(b_t^R, b_t^P) \]
The Evolution of Transfers within group $i = R, P$

\[ b_{t+1}^i = \max\{\beta [w_{t+1} h(e_t^i) + (b_t^i - e_t^i) R_{t+1} - \theta], 0\} \]
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The Evolution of Transfers within group $i = R,P$

\[
b^i_{t+1} = \max\{\beta[w^i_{t+1} h(e^i_t) + (b^i_t - e^i_t) R_{t+1} - \theta], 0\}
\]

\[
\implies
\]

\[
b^i_{t+1} = \phi(b^i_t, k_{t+1})
\]

There exists $\hat{k}$, a critical level of $k$ below which individuals who do not receive parental transfers (i.e., $b^i_t = e^i_t = 0$) do not transfer income to their offspring: $w(\hat{k}) = \theta$

\[
b^i_{t+1} = \phi(0, k_{t+1}) \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k_{t+1} \leq \hat{k} \\
> 0 & \text{if } k_{t+1} > \hat{k}
\end{cases}
\]
The Evolution of Transfers within Group $i = R, P$

$$b^i_{t+1} = \phi(b^i_t, k_{t+1}) = \phi(b^i_t, \kappa(b^R_t, b^P_t))$$

$$\equiv \psi^i(b^R_t, b^P_t)$$
The dynamical system

\[ \{ b_t^P, b_t^R \}_{t=0}^\infty \] such that:
The dynamical system

\[ \{ b_t^P, b_t^R \}_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{ such that:} \]

\[ b_{t+1}^P = \psi^P(b_t^R, b_t^P) \]

\[ b_{t+1}^R = \psi^R(b_t^R, b_t^P) \]
The Process of Development
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The Process of Development

- **Regime I**: PC Accumulation \( (k \leq \tilde{k}) \)
- **Regime II**: HC Accumulation \( (k > \tilde{k}) \)
  - Stage I of Regime II \( (\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K}) \)
  - Stage II of Regime II \( (\hat{K} < K < K^*) \)
  - Stage III of Regime II \( (K > K^*) \)
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Regime I: Physical Capital Accumulation

Early stages of development \((k \leq \tilde{k})\)

- \(K\) is the main engine of growth: \(\rho^{HC} < \rho^K\)
- No investment in education
- No Transfers within Group \(P\)
- Transfers within Group \(R\) ↑
- Wages ↑
Regime I: Physical Capital Accumulation

Early stages of development ($k \leq \tilde{k}$)

- $K$ is the main engine of growth: $\rho^{HC} < \rho^K$
- No investment in education
- No Transfers within Group $P$
- Transfers within Group $R \uparrow$
- Wages $\uparrow$
- Income inequality $\uparrow$
The Conditional Dynamical System: Regime I

\[ b_{t+1}^i = \phi(b_t^i; k) \]

- Poverty Trap
- Group P
- Group R

Diagram showing the relationship between \( b_{t+1}^i \) and \( b_t^i \) along with the conditional function \( \phi(b_t^i; k) \).
Regime I: Effect of Inequality

Inequality enhances the process development
Regime I: Effect of Inequality

Inequality enhances the process development

- A transfer of wealth from Group R to P
Regime I: Effect of Inequality

Inequality enhances the process development

- A transfer of wealth from Group R to P
- Aggregate consumption ↑
Regime I: Effect of Inequality

Inequality enhances the process development

- A transfer of wealth from Group R to P $\implies$
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Regime I: Effect of Inequality

Inequality enhances the process development

- A transfer of wealth from Group R to P $\implies$
  - Aggregate consumption $\uparrow$
  - Aggregate intergenerational transfers $\downarrow$
  - Rate of capital accumulation $\downarrow$
Regime II: Human Capital Accumulation

Mature stages of development: \( k > \bar{k} \)
Regime II: Human Capital Accumulation

Mature stages of development: \((k > \bar{k})\)

- HC is the engine of growth: \(\rho^{HC} \geq \rho^K\)
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)

- Members of group \(P\)
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)

- Members of group \(P\)
  - No intergenerational transfers
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)

- Members of group \(P\)
  - No intergenerational transfers
  - No investment in education
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II ($\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K}$)

- Members of group $P$
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Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)

- Members of group \(P\)
  - No intergenerational transfers
  - No investment in education

- Members of group \(R\)
  - Transfers \(\uparrow\)
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II ($\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K}$)

- Members of group $P$
  - No intergenerational transfers
  - No investment in education

- Members of group $R$
  - Transfers $\uparrow$
  - Expenditure on education $\uparrow$
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II \((\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})\)

- Members of group \(P\)
  - No intergenerational transfers
  - No investment in education

- Members of group \(R\)
  - Transfers \(\uparrow\)
  - Expenditure on education \(\uparrow\)

- Wages \(\uparrow\)
Stage I of Regime II: HC Accumulation by group R

Stage I of Regime II $(\tilde{K} < K \leq \hat{K})$

- Members of group $P$
  - No intergenerational transfers
  - No investment in education

- Members of group $R$
  - Transfers $\uparrow$
  - Expenditure on education $\uparrow$

- Wages $\uparrow$

- Income inequality $\uparrow$
The Conditional Dynamical System: Stage I of Regime II

\[ \phi(b_i^t; k) \]

Graph showing the relationship between \( b_{i+1} \) and \( b_i \) with \( k \) as a parameter.
Stage II of Regime II: HC Accumulation by the Poor
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Stage II of Regime II ($\hat{K} < K < K^*$)

- Members of group $P$ (credit constrained): $\rho^{HC} > \rho^K$
  - Start to transfers
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- Members of group \( P \) (credit constrained): \( \rho^{HC} > \rho^K \)
  - Start to transfers
  - Start to acquire education
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Stage II of Regime II ($\hat{K} < K < K^* )$

- Members of group $P$ (credit constrained): $\rho^{HC} > \rho^K$
  - Start to transfers
  - Start to acquire education

- Members of group $R$ (not credit constrained): $\rho^{HC} = \rho^K$
Stage II of Regime II \((\hat{K} < K < K^*)\)

- Members of group \(P\) (credit constrained): \(\rho^{HC} > \rho^K\)
  - Start to transfers
  - Start to acquire education

- Members of group \(R\) (not credit constrained): \(\rho^{HC} = \rho^K\)
  - Invest optimally in human and physical capital
Conditional Dynamical System: Stage II-III of Regime II

\[ b_{t+1}^i = \phi(b_t^i; k) \]
Stage II of Regime II: Effect of Inequality

- More equality is beneficial for the process development
Stage II of Regime II: Effect of Inequality

- More equality is beneficial for the process development
  - A transfer of wealth from group $R$ to group $P$ allows (due to credit constraint) a more efficient allocation of aggregate investment between HC and PC
Stage III of Regime II: Credit Constraints are not Binding

- All individuals are not credit constrained: \( R^{HC} = R^K \)
Stage III of Regime II: Credit Constraints are not Binding

- All individuals are not credit constrained: $R^{HC} = R^K$
- Inequality has no effect on the process of development
The changing Role of Inequality in the Development Process

Regime I
\[ \rho^K > \rho^H \]
K only engine
Inequality (+)

Regime II
\[ \rho^K \leq \rho^H \]
HC main engine
Inequality (-)
### Effect of Inequality in Regime II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage I</th>
<th>Stage II</th>
<th>Stage III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho^K &lt; \rho^H_P$</td>
<td>$\rho^K &lt; \rho^H_P$</td>
<td>$\rho^K = \rho^H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho^K = \rho^H_R$</td>
<td>$\rho^K = \rho^H_R$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 engines</td>
<td>HC main engine</td>
<td>2 engines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inequality (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Galor-Moav
Testable Implications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testable implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The CMI approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The effect on inequality depends on the country's level of income. Inequality is beneficial for poor economies and harmful for rich ones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Unified Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The effect of inequality on growth depends on the relative return to human and physical capital. The higher is the relative return to human capital the more harmful is inequality for economic growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Unified Approach

- The effect of inequality on growth depends on the relative return to human and physical capital. The higher is the relative return to human capital the more harmful is inequality for economic growth.
The replacement of physical capital accumulation by human capital accumulation as a prime engine of economic growth has changed the impact of inequality on the process of development. Inequality stimulates economic growth in stages of development in which physical capital accumulation is the prime engine of growth. Inequality is harmful for economic growth in stages of development in which human capital accumulation is the prime engine of growth. Int’l capital inflow to LDCs and the adoption of skilled-biased technologies may place economies directly in the second stage in which inequality is harmful.
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Implications for DC and LDCs

The replacement of physical capital accumulation by human capital accumulation as a prime engine of economic growth has changed the impact of inequality on the process of development.

- Inequality stimulates economic growth in stages of development in which physical capital accumulation is the prime engine of growth.
- Inequality is harmful for economic growth in stages of development in which human capital accumulation is the prime engine of economic growth.

- Int’l capital inflow to LDCs and the adoption of skilled-biased technologies may place economies directly in the second stage in which inequality is harmful.
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